

---

---

**ROOT AND BRANCH: RE-EVALUATING THE ANTHROPOCENE  
THROUGH ECOCRITICAL THEORY AND GREEN THOUGHT**

**Rani B.R.**

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Teresian College,  
Mysuru.

**DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18789917>**

**ABSTRACT:**

This paper examines the evolution of ecocritical discourse from its pastoral origins to its current state as a radical, intersectional framework. By analyzing the “Three Waves” of ecocriticism and integrating concepts such as Rob Nixon’s “Slow Violence” and Timothy Morton’s “Dark Ecology,” the study argues for a post-humanist shift in narrative. Special emphasis is placed on the Global South, particularly the Indian subcontinent, where ecological survival is inextricably linked to post-colonial resistance and multispecies justice.

**KEYWORDS:**

Anthropocene, Biocentrism, Deep Ecology, Intersectionality, Slow Violence.

.....

## Objectives

This article aims to critically re-evaluate the Anthropocene by tracing the evolution of ecocritical thought from its pastoral origins to its current global and intersectional “Third Wave.” The primary objective is to dismantle the philosophical framework of human exceptionalism through the application of contemporary theories such as Dark Ecology and Slow Violence. Furthermore, the paper seeks to contextualize these global theories within the Indian subcontinent, exploring how narrative agency and “Linguistic Rewilding” can facilitate a shift from extractive logic to a culture of multispecies justice and care.

## Research Methodology

The methodology adopted for this study is qualitative and hermeneutic, grounded in the tradition of literary and cultural criticism. To fulfill the stated objectives, the paper employs a multi-pronged theoretical approach:

**Genealogical Analysis:** Tracing the historical shifts in ecocritical thought—from First-Wave Romanticism to Third-Wave Intersectionality—to understand how the definition of “the environment” has expanded over time.

**Theoretical Synthesis:** Integrating core concepts from environmental philosophy, such as Timothy Morton’s Dark Ecology and Rob Nixon’s Slow Violence, to analyze the structural and temporal challenges posed by the Anthropocene.

**Intertextual Critique:** Examining diverse literary works—ranging from the Western canon (Richard Powers) to post-colonial Indian narratives (Amitav Ghosh and Vandana Shiva)—to identify how narrative strategies shift when moving from anthropocentric to biocentric perspectives.

**Discourse Analysis:** Investigating the “Rhetoric of Sustainability” to uncover how linguistic structures influence our ecological conscience, specifically focusing on the transition from

“resource-based” language to “process-oriented” verbs.

## **Introduction: The Literary Landscape in the Age of Crisis**

The dawn of the twenty-first century has brought a sobering realization: the “environment” can no longer be viewed as a mere static backdrop—a decorative stage-set-against which the human drama unfolds. We have indisputably entered the Anthropocene, a term popularized by Paul Crutzen to describe a geological epoch where human agency has become a geophysical force. In this era of climate instability, literature has transcended its role as a social mirror; it has emerged as a vital organ for collective survival.

Ecocriticism, once a niche sub-discipline of Romantic studies, has matured into a radical, interdisciplinary powerhouse. As we navigate the mid-2020s, “Green Thought” has moved beyond the simplistic advocacy of “saving trees.” It now seeks to dismantle the very foundations of human exceptionalism—the Cartesian dualism that separates “Man” from “Nature”—which facilitated our current ecological precarity. This article explores the trajectory of ecocritical discourse, the shift toward “Dark Ecology,” and the role of narrative in reimagining a world where the human is no longer the center of the universe.

### **I. The Genealogy of Green Thought: The Three Waves**

To comprehend the current state of ecological literature, we must trace its intellectual evolution through three distinct “waves,” each broadening the definition of what constitutes an “environmental text.”

#### **1. The First Wave: The Pastoral and the Wilderness (1980s–1990s)**

Early ecocriticism was largely celebratory and hagiographic. It focused on the “Canon of Nature Writing,” primarily the works of Henry David Thoreau, John Muir, and the British Romantics like Wordsworth. The primary objective was to rediscover the “wilderness” as a site of spiritual renewal. However, as scholars like

Lawrence Buell have noted, this wave was frequently criticized for its “white, male, and Western” bias. It conceptualized nature as a pristine “elsewhere”—a place one visits on vacation—thereby reinforcing the binary between the “clean” wild and the “dirty” urban. It failed to account for the fact that for many, “nature” is not a sanctuary but a place of labor and exploitation.

## **2. The Second Wave: Environmental Justice and the Urban (2000s)**

The second wave successfully blurred the artificial boundaries between the “natural” and the “built.” Critics like Joni Adamson and Giovanna Di Chiro turned their gaze toward toxic discourses, examining how industrialization, waste management, and pollution disproportionately affect marginalized communities. This gave rise to Environmental Justice, asserting that the health of a forest is inextricably linked to the socio-economic health of a city’s periphery. In India, this wave resonated deeply through the study of “Environmentalism of the Poor,” a term coined by Ramachandra Guha and Joan Martinez-Alier, which highlights how ecological protection in the Global South is often a struggle for basic sovereignty and survival rather than a middle-class aesthetic choice.

## **3. The Third Wave: The Global and the Intersectional (Present Day)**

We are currently situated within the Third Wave—a movement that is both global in scope and radically political. It recognizes that ecological crises like the melting of Himalayan glaciers or the acidification of the oceans do not respect sovereign borders. This wave blends ecocriticism with post-colonialism, feminism, and queer theory. The focus has shifted from “nature” (a social construct) to “ecology”—an intricate web where a plastic bottle in the Indian Ocean is as much an ecological entity as a Banyan tree in Bengal. It asks: Whose environment are we protecting? And at whose expense?

## **II. Theoretical Foundations: De-centring the Human**

### **Biocentrism vs. Anthropocentrism**

At the heart of Green Thought lies the rejection of Anthropocentrism. For centuries, Western literature and philosophy operated on the “Great Chain of Being,” placing man at the apex. Green Thought proposes Biocentrism, wherein every species possesses intrinsic value independent of its utility to humans. This shift necessitates a “narrative de-centring.” We are seeing a move toward stories where the human protagonist is no longer the sole agent of change. In such narratives, the monsoon, the soil, or the microbial life within a body are recognized as active characters with their own trajectories and “voices.”

### **Rob Nixon’s “Slow Violence”**

A cornerstone of modern ecocritical analysis is Rob Nixon’s concept of Slow Violence. Unlike the “spectacular” violence of a terrorist attack or a volcanic eruption—which are immediate and camera-ready—slow violence occurs over decades. It is the gradual leaching of carcinogens into the Punjab groundwater, the “silent” displacement of villagers for a dam project, or the invisible accumulation of microplastics in the food chain. Literature is uniquely positioned to address this because it can manipulate temporal scales. Through the “slow” medium of the novel, writers can make this invisible destruction visible, stretching the reader’s empathy across generations.

## **III. Dark Ecology and the End of “Nature”**

Timothy Morton, a prominent voice in contemporary ecological theory, argues that the very concept of “Nature” is an obstacle to true ecological understanding. By naming it “Nature,” we objectify it, keeping it at a distance—a destination for a weekend retreat or a documentary on a screen.

Dark Ecology suggests we must embrace the “uncanny” and often “unpleasant” aspects of our biological existence. We are not

“in” nature; we are nature. We are composed of bacteria; we breathe the ancient residues of carbonized plants. The “dark” realization is that there is no “away” to throw our waste; we are always already within the system. As the ethos suggests: “The ecological catastrophe has already happened. Our job is to learn how to live in the ruins.” This perspective moves away from the “bright green” optimism of sustainable consumerism and toward a deeper, more profound acknowledgment of our entanglement with the dying and the decaying.

#### **IV. The Post-Humanist Narrative: Multispecies Justice**

New literary trends are manifesting these theories through Post-Humanism, acknowledging that humans are not the only actors on the global stage.

**The Non-Human Perspective:** There is a rise in “Green Narrators”—stories told from the perspective of animals or even inanimate forces. This is not simple anthropomorphism (giving animals human traits), but an attempt to imagine a sensory world entirely alien to our own, forcing the reader to abandon their human-centric ego.

**The Overstory and Beyond:** Novels like Richard Powers’ *The Overstory* treat trees as central characters whose life-cycles dwarf human history. This challenges the “standard” novelistic time-frame, suggesting that the “human” scale of time is insufficient for understanding the planet.

#### **V. Case Studies: Cli-Fi and the Indian Subcontinent**

While Western ecocriticism often prioritizes the preservation of “wild” spaces, writers from the Global South are redefining green thought through the lens of survival, resistance, and “Subaltern Ecologies.”

##### **Amitav Ghosh and “The Great Derangement”**

Amitav Ghosh’s seminal work, *The Great Derangement*,

critiques the modern novel for its failure to address the scale of climate change. He argues that the “bourgeois” novel, with its focus on individual psychology and domestic life, is ill-equipped to handle the “improbable” reality of extreme weather. In his fiction, particularly *The Hungry Tide* and *Gun Island*, Ghosh weaves together Bengali folklore, migration, and the shifting geography of the Sundarbans to show how the “human” story is always at the mercy of the “non-human” tide.

### **Vandana Shiva and Seed Sovereignty**

In the Indian context, ecocriticism is often inseparable from Eco-feminism. Vandana Shiva’s influence has established the “seed” as a potent symbol of anti-colonial resistance. These narratives contrast the sterile, patented seeds of multinational corporations (a form of bio-imperialism) with the “living,” diverse seeds of traditional Indian agriculture. Here, the environment is not a lifestyle choice; it is the site of a battle for sovereignty over one’s own food and future.

## **VI. The Rhetoric of Sustainability: Linguistic Rewilding**

Green thought posits that our very language has been “colonized” by industrial and neoliberal logic. We speak of “natural resources,” implying nature is a warehouse, and “land development,” implying that un-industrialized land is “wasted” or “empty.” Modern ecocritics advocate for Linguistic Rewilding:

**Reclaiming Indigenous Terminology:** Using vernacular names for flora and fauna to restore the specific cultural and spiritual history of a landscape.

**Verb-Led Landscapes:** Describing a forest not as a static noun, but as an active process—it is “breathing,” “sequestering,” and “communicating.”

**The Personhood of the Non-Human:** Following the legal precedents (like the Ganges being granted legal “personhood”), authors are shifting away from referring to non-human life as “it.”

By using personal pronouns, they grant moral status to the environment.

## **VII. Digital Ecologies: The Materiality of the Virtual**

A new frontier in ecocriticism is the study of Digital Ecologies. There is a persistent myth that the “Cloud” is ethereal and weightless. Green thought exposes the physical reality: the massive server farms in cold climates, the rare-earth mineral mining in the Congo for smartphone batteries, and the mountains of e-waste buried in the soil of developing nations like India. Contemporary literature is beginning to address this “Digital Footprint,” bridging the gap between our glowing screens and the dirt. It asks: Does the energy consumed by a single AI prompt contribute to the wildfire thousands of miles away? This branch of ecocriticism reminds us that even our most “advanced” technologies are ultimately rooted in the Earth’s crust.

## **Conclusion: The Writer as an Ecological Catalyst**

As we move toward the late 2020s, the writer’s role has shifted from mere storyteller to Ecological Catalyst. Literature is no longer a luxury; it is a simulation space where we can test our survival strategies.

Ecocriticism provides the framework to move beyond despair and “eco-anxiety.” By transitioning from the “First Wave” of appreciation to the “Third Wave” of radical, intersectional action, literature offers a roadmap for the Anthropocene. We are realizing that the Root of our crisis is philosophical—a failure of the imagination—and the Branch of our solution must be narrative. Through the stories we tell, we possess the power to “re-earth” ourselves, moving from a culture of extraction to a culture of care.

In the ruins of the old world, a new, green literature is growing—proving that while the climate may be changing, the human capacity for reimagining our place within the “planetary body” is infinite.

**References:**

1. Buell, Lawrence. *The Future of Environmental Criticism: Environmental Crisis and Literary Imagination*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2005.
2. Crutzen, Paul J. "Geology of Mankind." *Nature*, vol. 415, no. 6867, 2002, p. 23.
3. Morton, Timothy. *Ecology Without Nature: Rethinking Environmental Aesthetics*. Harvard University Press, 2007.
4. Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard University Press, 2011.
5. Powers, Richard. *The Overstory*. Vintage, 2018.
6. Shiva, Vandana. *Staying Alive: Women, Ecology, and Development*. Zed Books, 1988.
7. Zapf, Hubert. *Literature as Cultural Ecology: Sustainable Texts*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2016.
8. Adamson, Joni. *American Indian Literature, Environmental Justice, and Ecocriticism*. University of Arizona Press, 2001.
9. Alaimo, Stacy. *Bodily Nature: Science, Environment, and the Material Self*. Indiana University Press, 2010.
10. Chakrabarty, Dipesh. "The Climate of History: Four Theses." *Critical Inquiry*, vol. 35, no. 2, 2009, pp. 197-222.
11. Garrard, Greg. *Ecocriticism*. 3rd ed., Routledge, 2023.
12. Ghosh, Amitav. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. Penguin Books, 2016.
13. Guha, Ramachandra. *Environmentalism: A Global History*. Longman, 2000.
14. Heise, Ursula K. *Sense of Place and Sense of Planet: The Environmental Imagination of the Global*. Oxford University Press, 2008.