Themes of Good vs. Evil in Amish Tripathi's Shiva Trilogy

Nysha Divakar

Assistant Professor, St. Francis College, Bengaluru.

ABSTRACT:

Myth is a traditional narrative rooted in the ancient past of a culture, often used to explain social, moral, or environmental phenomena. Situated between anthropology, philosophy, and literature, myth simultaneously denotes belief, symbolism, and imaginative truth. Tradition, closely linked to myth, refers to belief systems and behavioural patterns transmitted across generations. Amish Tripathi's Shiva Trilogy reimagines Indian mythology by presenting the theme of good versus evil not as a rigid binary but as a complex and evolving moral framework. Through the humanisation of Shiva and the reinterpretation of mythic institutions such as Meluha, the Chandravanshis, and the Somras, the trilogy questions absolute moral positions. Evil is not portrayed as an external force but as the outcome of flawed choices, rigid ideologies, and unintended consequences. This paper examines how Tripathi deconstructs the conventional understanding of good and evil, presenting them as relative and contextual rather than absolute. By analysing characters, societies, and ethical dilemmas within the trilogy, the study highlights how mythology is used as a tool to explore moral ambiguity and contemporary social concerns. The paper argues that the Shiva Trilogy presents good and evil as dynamic concepts shaped by perception, responsibility, and balance.

KEYWORDS:

Introduction

Myths are narratives passed down through generations, originally through oral traditions, that explain the origins of cultural practices, beliefs, and social systems. They help societies address unanswered questions and offer moral frameworks through symbolic storytelling. In Indian culture, mythology has played a significant role in shaping ethical values, social norms, and philosophical thought. In contemporary literature, myths are no longer retold merely as sacred narratives; instead, they are reimagined to suit modern sensibilities and address present–day concerns.

Indian writing in English has witnessed a renewed interest in mythological retellings, especially through popular fiction. Writers like Amish Tripathi have brought mythology closer to common readers by humanising divine figures and using accessible language. This shift marks a new phase in Indian English fiction, where literature is written for the masses while still engaging with profound philosophical questions. Tripathi's Shiva Trilogy—The Immortals of Meluha (2010), The Secret of the Nagas (2011), and The Oath of the Vayuputras (2013)—reimagines Shiva as a historical figure who later becomes a god.

The central concern that binds the trilogy is the quest to understand the nature of evil. Rather than presenting evil as a clearly identifiable force, the narrative examines how actions rooted in good intentions can lead to destructive outcomes. Through this approach, Tripathi challenges the traditional moral binaries associated with mythological narratives and encourages readers to question established notions of righteousness and wrongdoing.

Myth, Tradition, and Re–imagination

Between imagination and reality, myth holds a special place. It is a symbolic depiction of human experience rather than wholly real or wholly imaginary. While no single story can fully portray the complexity of the human situation, a good myth does so in an artful way. Contrarily, inherited belief systems and customs that maintain

a society's continuity are referred to as tradition. Myth and tradition work together to form moral understanding and group identity.

Traditional stories are frequently reinterpreted in modern mythological retellings to match modern ideals. Instead of rejecting the original tale, reimagination revisits it from fresh angles. Such retellings enable authors to challenge inflexible societal hierarchies, moral precepts, and unchallenged traditions in Indian English literature. This legacy of reinterpretation, in which mythology becomes a forum for discussion rather than orthodoxy, is reflected in Tripathi's work.

The trilogy blurs the distinction between the holy and the human by situating Shiva within a historical and social framework. Shiva becomes a god by his deeds and decisions; he is not born a god. The conventional conception of good and evil is redefined as a result of this reimagination, which moves the emphasis from heavenly destiny to human responsibility.

The Concept of Good and Evil in the Shiva Trilogy

The theme of good versus evil is central to the Shiva Trilogy, yet it is treated with complexity and nuance. Initially, Shiva perceives the Suryavanshi kingdom of Meluha as the embodiment of good, characterised by order, discipline, and adherence to law. In contrast, the Chandravanshis appear chaotic and morally corrupt. This perception aligns with conventional mythological binaries.

These distinctions start to blur as the story goes on. Social inequalities like the Vikarma system, which marginalises people for alleged misdeeds from previous lifetimes, result from Meluha's strict obedience to the law. In the same vein, although being seen as rebellious, the Chandravanshis exhibit empathy and adaptability that are lacking in Meluhan society. Through these depictions, Tripathi shows how circumstances and decisions determine good and evil rather than being innate traits of people or communities.

The trilogy implies that when moral absolutism stifles justice

and empathy, it can turn into a kind of evil in and of itself. Tripathi challenges readers to consider the validity of moral authority based only on tradition by highlighting the shortcomings of supposedly ideal cultures.

Somras and the Ethics of Evil

The Somras, a chemical that prolongs life and is venerated in Meluha, is one of the trilogy's most important representations of moral ambiguity. The Somras was initially developed as a useful discovery, but over time it turns into a destructive force that degrades the ecosystem and causes birth defects. An important ethical concern is brought up by the use of Somras: may something that starts out good turn bad because of its long–term effects?

According to Tripathi, evil results from ignorance, denial, and a failure to take responsibility rather than from deliberate malice. Despite evidence of Somras' detrimental impacts, Meluha's authorities nevertheless defend their use. This moral blindness demonstrates how ideological devotion can take precedence over moral judgment.

The trilogy addresses current issues of scientific advancement, environmental exploitation, and ethical responsibility through the Somras story. Evil originates from people's inability to anticipate or accept the repercussions of their acts rather than from supernatural forces.

Shiva as a Moral Agent

The road of moral awakening is symbolised by Shiva's metamorphosis from a tribal leader to Mahadev. In contrast to conventional representations of gods as flawless entities, Shiva is seen as a contemplative, inquisitive person. He opposes unfair laws, such as the Vikarma system, out of compassion and logic rather than revolt.

His idea that people shouldn't be punished for events beyond their control is reflected in his decision to eliminate the Vikarma

system. Rather than blindly following tradition, this deed redefines virtue as compassion. Shiva's internal conflicts highlight the fact that people struggle with good and evil just as much as societies do.

Tripathi emphasises the idea that ethical responsibility is based on personal choice by depicting Shiva as a moral agent rather than a predestined saviour. Good and evil are the results of choices made in certain situations rather than innate qualities.

Perspective, Power, and Moral Relativity

The trilogy emphasises time and again how perspective determines what is good and evil. From one perspective, characters who seem evil turn out to be idealists or victims. Initially shown as a just ruler, Daksha is subsequently shown to be motivated by fear and ambition, which causes him to perform treacherous deeds.

Readers are prompted to reevaluate their snap decisions by this moral relativism. Moral perception is influenced by belief systems, power structures, and individual motivations. By revealing these layers, the story implies that insecurity and the need to keep control are frequently the root causes of evil.

A portrayal like this speaks to the societal realities of today, when moral dilemmas are rarely straightforward. Thus, the trilogy examines contemporary ethical quandaries by using mythology as a mirror.

Literature Review and Critical Context

Modern research on myth and its role emphasises that myths are dynamic texts that vary with society rather than being static narratives. Myth has been seen by academics like Claude Lévi–Strauss as a structural framework that helps cultures reconcile conflicts in their cultural logic. According to this viewpoint, myths give cultures a framework for resolving moral conflicts like good and evil. The premise that reinterpretation is unavoidable is further supported by Leslie White's cultural evolution hypothesis, which contends that myths change in tandem with material and ideological

shifts.

Mythology has historically served as a moral compass in India, upholding dharma, social order, and communal values. Characters in classic epic retellings, like the Ramayana and Mahabharata, are frequently classified as either good or bad, creating moral dichotomies. However, by adding ambiguity and psychological depth, modern Indian English writers contest this strategy. Myths are reinterpreted by authors like Girish Karnad, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni, and Amish Tripathi to explore contemporary issues like gender, power, justice, and individual agency.

Amish Tripathi's ability to strike a balance between popular narrative and philosophical investigation is frequently highlighted in critical reactions to his writings. His Shiva Trilogy has been interpreted as an effort to base holy stories in historical and sociopolitical realities in order to rationalise mythology. This strategy is in line with the preference of contemporary readers for ethical inquiry and realism. The current analysis places Tripathi's writings in the larger context of revisionist mythology, concentrating on the redefining of the good versus evil motif.

Ethical Systems and the Idea of Dharma

The Shiva Trilogy's moral understanding is greatly influenced by the idea of dharma. Classical mythology frequently depicts dharma—traditionally considered as righteous duty—as unchangeable and approved by God. But according to Tripathi, dharma is situational and dynamic. Characters frequently wonder if following the law is enough to guarantee justice.

Meluha takes great pleasure in being a law-abiding, ideal community. However, systemic misery is caused by these precise laws, especially through the Vikarma system. A deterministic worldview that rejects human agency is reflected in the designation of people as Vikarmas due to purported transgressions from previous lifetimes. A change from rule-based morality to empathy-

based ethics is represented by Shiva's resistance to this system. This reinterpretation of dharma calls into question the idea that obedience is the only path to goodness.

Thus, the trilogy makes the case that morally superior systems can harbour evil. Laws become tools of oppression when they are enforced without empathy. Tripathi's depiction of dharma is consistent with modern ethical theory, which prioritises accountability, context, and human dignity over strict moral principles.

War, Violence, and Moral Responsibility

The depiction of bloodshed and conflict in the Shiva Trilogy is another important aspect of good and evil. Tripathi views violence as a tragic result of intellectual conflict, in contrast to conventional epics that frequently defend war as a cosmic necessity. Instead of glorifying conflict, Shiva sees it as a failure of communication and comprehension.

The conflicts between Meluha, the Chandravanshis, and eventually the Nagas demonstrate how conflicting ideals result in widespread devastation. Despite the fact that each group's actions cause pain and loss, they all think they are acting in the best interests of morality. This viewpoint supports the notion that moral certainty can be harmful when it stifles opposing opinions.

The trilogy's moral position is emphasised by Shiva's unwillingness to use violence until it is absolutely required. Evil exists everywhere authority is used without responsibility and is not limited to the adversary. Tripathi unites mythology with contemporary humanist ideals by depicting conflict as morally nuanced rather than heroic.

Gender, Power, and Moral Complexity

The idea of good and evil is further complicated by the inclusion of female characters. The women in the trilogy, including Sati and Kali, are active moral actors rather than passive characters. The gendered aspects of moral judgment are revealed by Sati's

status as a Vikarma. Her misery is a product of systemic prejudice rather than personal transgressions.

As the Naga chief, Kali represents the ethical fallout from exclusion. The Nagas, who are viewed as horrible by the general public, stand in for people who are marginalised by strict moral standards. The main cause of their alleged depravity is social rejection. Tripathi criticises the propensity to demonise the "other" without comprehending their daily circumstances through these characters.

The trilogy implies that moral truth frequently resides outside of dominant power structures by providing voice to marginalised figures. The concepts of good and evil are exposed as being shaped by control, fear, and social hierarchy.

Contemporary Relevance of the Good–Evil Paradigm

The moral issues brought up in the Shiva Trilogy are very relevant to today's worldwide issues. The moral conundrums surrounding the Somras are mirrored by problems like environmental deterioration, technological abuse, and inflexible ideological differences. The trilogy cautions against having unquestioning faith in advancement without moral discernment.

The story's emphasis on discourse and balance is especially important in a time of polarization. Readers are prompted by Tripathi's reworking of mythology to consider the difficulty of moral judgment and to challenge unquestioned facts. The trilogy encourages people to assume ethical responsibility by presenting virtue and evil as choices rather than fates.

Conclusion

The classic issue of good against evil is profoundly reexamined in Amish Tripathi's Shiva Trilogy. The story portrays good and evil as malleable, contextual, and very human concepts by undermining strict moral dichotomies. Evil is portrayed as the result of faulty beliefs, anxiety, and unforeseen consequences rather than as an

outside force that must be eliminated. The trilogy highlights the value of responsibility, empathy, and balance via Shiva's journey.

Tripathi shows how mythology is still relevant as a tool for moral and social discourse by reinterpreting it within a modern perspective. Mythology is a living tradition that changes with time because the Shiva Trilogy eventually implies that human decisions are where the real conflict between good and evil takes place.

References

1. Beauvoir, S. de. (1997). The Second Sex. Random House.

2. Levi–Strauss, C. (2008). Structural Anthropology. Hachette UK.

3. Tripathi, A. (2010). The Immortals of Meluha. Westland.

4. Tripathi, A. (2011). The Secret of the Nagas. Westland.

5. Tripathi, A. (2013). The Oath of the Vayuputras. Westland.

6. White, L. A. (1943). Energy and the evolution of culture. American Anthropologist, 45, 335–356.

7. White, L. A. (1945). History, evolutionism, and functionalism: Three types of interpretation of culture. Southwestern Journal of Anthropology, 1, 204–214.

8. White, L. A. (1947). Evolutionary stages, progress, and the evaluation of cultures. Southwestern Journal of Anthropology, 3, 221–228.