

# ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN INDIAN POLITICS: OPPORTUNITIES AND IMPLICATIONS

*Bheemashankar Alagundagi\**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21253235>

## ABSTRACT

*The 2024 Indian General Elections marked a definitive shift toward "Generative Politics," where Artificial Intelligence emerged as both a democratizing force and a formidable threat. AI acts as a linguistic bridge, utilizing translation platforms to overcome regional barriers and foster an inclusive "Digital Vernacular." Conversely, it threatens electoral transparency through hyper-responsive "pixel management," opaque micro-targeting, and the rapid proliferation of viral "cheapfakes" across encrypted messaging ecosystems. This duality exposes Indian democracy to the "Liar's Dividend," undermining political accountability. Securing the nation's digital sovereignty necessitates urgent policy interventions, including mandatory synthetic media labeling, algorithmic transparency, and robust fact-checking infrastructures.*

**KEYWORDS:** *Artificial Intelligence, Digital Sovereignty, Generative Politics, Synthetic Media, Micro-targeting.*

\*

**Bheemashankar Alagundagi**, Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, G. P. Porwal Arts, Commerce and V. V. Salimath Science College, Sindagi.

## 1. Introduction

The intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and political science has transitioned from a theoretical possibility to a disruptive reality. In India—the world’s largest democracy—this “algorithmic turn” is uniquely shaped by a massive, mobile-first electorate and a complex multi-party system. While AI offers unprecedented tools for administrative efficiency and multilingual voter outreach, it simultaneously introduces existential threats to the “marketplace of ideas” through synthetic disinformation and opaque micro-targeting (Singh & Roy, 2024).

The 2024 General Elections served as a global test bed for “Generative Politics,” where AI was utilized not just for data analysis, but for the creation of persuasive, often deceptive, media. This paper aims to analyze the dualities of AI in the Indian context, exploring how it acts as both a “bridge” for linguistic inclusion and a “barrier” to electoral transparency. By examining the current technological trajectory, this study provides a framework for understanding the future of Digital Sovereignty in India.

## 2. Review of Literature

The scholarship on AI in Indian politics can be categorized into three primary thematic pillars:

### **Pillar I: The Shift from Mass Media to Micro-Targeting**

Traditional political communication in India relied on broad-based rallies and broadcast media. However, recent literature (Jaffrelot & Verniers, 2020) identifies a shift toward “Computational Propaganda.” Researchers argue that the availability of cheap data and high smartphone penetration has allowed parties to move from “booth management” to “pixel management.” Pareek and Garhwal (2024) note that AI-driven sentiment analysis now allows campaigns to pivot their messaging in real-time based on trending social media grievances, creating a hyper-responsive but potentially manipulative political environment.

---

## **Pillar II: Linguistic Inclusion and the “Digital Vernacular”**

A unique contribution of Indian scholarship is the study of AI as a tool for overcoming linguistic fragmentation. Unlike Western democracies, India’s 22 official languages present a significant barrier to national leaders. Research on the Bhashini platform and other AI dubbing tools suggests that AI has “democratized” access to political discourse, allowing a leader’s message to reach the most remote corners of the country in the local dialect (Kowalski, 2024). This is termed the “Digital Vernacular” phase of Indian democracy, where technology serves as a force for regional empowerment (Singh & Roy, 2024).

## **Pillar III: The Ethics of Synthetic Media and “Post-Truth” Politics**

The most critical body of recent literature (2023-2024) focuses on the ethical “grey zones” of deepfakes. Scholars warn that India’s high-speed WhatsApp ecosystem is particularly vulnerable to “cheapfakes”—low-cost AI manipulations that spread faster than fact-checkers can respond (TechPolicy Press, 2024). Furthermore, literature from the Friedrich Naumann Foundation (2024) explores the “Liar’s Dividend,” where the mere existence of AI allows politicians to plausibly deny real evidence by claiming it is a deepfake, thus eroding the very foundation of political accountability.

### **3. Methodology**

This study employs a Qualitative Systematic Review (QSR) combined with Categorical Content Analysis to examine the impact of Artificial Intelligence on the 2024 Indian General Elections. The methodology is designed to capture the “algorithmic turn” in a multi-party, multilingual democratic framework.

#### **3.1 Research Design**

The research follows a non-experimental, descriptive-analytical design focusing on the “Generative Politics” era. By utilizing a dual-lens approach, the study evaluates AI as both a “bridge” for linguistic inclusion and a “barrier” to transparency.

3.2 Data Collection and Sources

Data was synthesized from a multi-disciplinary array of sources to ensure a comprehensive view of the political-technological landscape:

- **Academic Literature:** Peer-reviewed journals focusing on Indian politics, data-driven machinery, and information policy.
- **Institutional Reports:** Analyses from global and local think tanks, including the German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) and the Friedrich Naumann Foundation.
- **Technical Policy Reviews:** Critical assessments of the “WhatsApp ecosystem” and AI experiments during the 2024 election cycle.

3.3 Thematic Analysis Framework

The collected data was coded into three primary thematic pillars as identified in the literature review:

- **Micro-Targeting Dynamics:** Analyzing the transition from traditional “booth management” to AI-driven “pixel management”.
- **Linguistic Diffusion:** Evaluating the effectiveness of the Bhashini platform and AI dubbing in creating a “Digital Vernacular”.
- **Synthetic Media Impact:** Investigating the prevalence of “cheapfakes” and the emergence of the “Liar’s Dividend” in eroding political accountability.

3.4 Scope and Limitations

The study specifically focuses on the 2024 General Elections as a global testbed. While it provides a framework for understanding Digital Sovereignty, the findings are limited by the rapid evolution of Generative AI, where technological capabilities often outpace legislative and fact-checking responses.

4. Global Comparative Context

Building on the methodology and the thematic pillars identified in your literature review, here is the expanded Section 4, which highlights the unique “Indian Model” of AI application

compared to Western democratic norms.

Global Comparative Context: The “Language Bridge” vs. The “Echo Chamber”

To understand the 2024 General Elections as a “global testbed”, it is essential to contrast India’s algorithmic trajectory with the digital evolution of Western democracies. This comparison reveals a distinct shift in how AI influences the “marketplace of ideas”.

#### 4.1 India’s “Language Bridge” and Regional Empowerment

While Western AI applications often focus on reinforcing existing ideological silos, India has utilized AI to collapse geographical and linguistic distances.

- **Overcoming Fragmentation:** Unlike the relatively linguistically homogenous political landscapes of the US or UK, India’s 22 official languages traditionally localized political influence.
- **The Bhashini Effect:** Tools like the Bhashini platform have transitioned AI from a tool of surveillance to one of “democratized” access, allowing national discourse to be translated into local dialects in real-time.
- **Digital Vernacular:** This creates a “Digital Vernacular” phase where technology serves as a force for regional empowerment rather than just centralized control.

#### 4.2 The Western “Echo Chamber” vs. Indian “Micro-Targeting”

In Western contexts, AI is frequently criticized for creating “echo chambers” through algorithmic curation that limits exposure to diverse views. In India, the challenge is more granular:

- **From Booth to Pixel:** Indian parties have evolved from physical “booth management” to “pixel management,” utilizing cheap data and high smartphone penetration to reach voters directly.
- **Hyper-Responsiveness:** AI-driven sentiment analysis allows Indian campaigns to pivot messaging based on trending social media grievances in real-time, a level of

hyper-responsiveness that surpasses traditional broadcast models.

4.3 Vulnerability and the “Liar’s Dividend”

The global threat of synthetic media manifests uniquely in the Indian ecosystem due to the speed of information relay:

- **Cheapfakes vs. Deepfakes:** While Western discourse often fears high-end deepfakes, India’s “WhatsApp ecosystem” is more susceptible to “cheapfakes”—low-cost manipulations that outpace fact-checkers.
- **Erosion of Accountability:** Both globally and locally, the “Liar’s Dividend” remains a shared threat, where politicians use the known existence of AI to plausibly deny real evidence of misconduct.

Summary of Comparative Dynamics

| Feature           | Western "Echo Chamber" Model     | India's "Language Bridge" Model       |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Primary AI Goal   | Targeted Advertising & Retention | Linguistic Inclusion & Outreach       |
| Communication     | Ideological Reinforcement        | Hyper-responsive "Pixel Management"   |
| Media Threat      | High-fidelity Deep fakes         | Viral "Cheap fakes" & WhatsApp spread |
| Democratic Impact | Increased Polarization           | Regional Empowerment vs. Opacity      |

5. Conclusion: Securing India’s Digital Sovereignty

The 2024 General Elections confirmed that India has entered a “Generative Politics” era, where AI is no longer a peripheral tool but a core architect of political discourse. This study has demonstrated that while AI acts as a “bridge” for linguistic inclusion, it simultaneously functions as a “barrier” to transparency through synthetic disinformation and opaque micro-targeting.

5.1 Key Findings

- **Democratic Expansion:** AI tools like the Bhashini platform have successfully fostered a “Digital Vernacular,” allowing political messages to bypass traditional linguistic barriers and reach remote electorates.

- **The Accountability Gap:** The shift from “booth management” to “pixel management” has created a hyper-responsive environment where sentiment analysis allows for real-time manipulation.
- **The Post-Truth Threat:** The “Liar’s Dividend” and the viral nature of “cheapfakes” within the WhatsApp ecosystem present an existential threat to political accountability.

## 5.2 Policy Recommendations

To protect the “marketplace of ideas” and ensure Digital Sovereignty, the following framework is proposed:

- **Mandatory Content Labeling:** Establish a regulatory requirement for political parties to watermark and label all AI-generated or AI-augmented media to prevent the spread of deceptive “synthetic media”.
- **Algorithmic Transparency in Micro-Targeting:** Implement disclosure norms for AI-driven sentiment analysis and micro-targeting to ensure that “pixel management” does not result in the predatory manipulation of vulnerable voter segments.
- **Strengthening Fact-Checking Infrastructure:** Scale localized, AI-powered fact-checking tools to combat “cheapfakes” at the same speed they propagate through encrypted messaging apps.
- **Codifying the “Liar’s Dividend” Defense:** Develop legal standards that prevent public figures from using the “it’s a deepfake” defense to dismiss authentic evidence of misconduct without forensic verification.

## 5.3 Future Outlook

India stands at a crossroads. By leaning into its technological trajectory, the nation can either become a model for inclusive, multi-lingual digital democracy or a cautionary tale of algorithmic fragmentation. Achieving Digital Sovereignty will require a delicate balance: fostering the innovation that bridges languages while strictly policing the “grey zones” of synthetic deception.

**References:**

1. DGAP. (2024). Generative AI and its influence on India's 2024 elections. German Council on Foreign Relations.
2. Jaffrelot, C., & Verniers, G. (2020). The BJP's 2019 election campaign: Not just a data-driven machinery. *Studies in Indian Politics*, 8(1), 7-22.
3. Kowalski, S. J. (2024). AI governance in India: Law, policy and political economy. *Journal of Information Policy*. doi.org
4. Pareek, K. S., & Garhwal, S. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence in Indian politics. *Shodh International Journal of Social Science Research*, 7(3), 108-116.
5. Singh, U. K., & Roy, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence and elections in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 59(22).
6. TechPolicy Press. (2024). India's experiments with AI in the 2024 elections.