

Administrative and Governance Reforms During the British Governor Generals'

Jayanna B. R.

Associate Professor, Department of History, Government First Grade
College for Women, Chamarajanagara.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17581209>

ABSTRACT:

The British colonial period in India witnessed significant transformations in administrative and governance structures, particularly under various Governor Generals who served as the highest authority of the British East India Company and later the British Crown. This paper explores the key reforms initiated by notable Governor Generals such as Warren Hastings, Lord Cornwallis, Lord Wellesley, Lord William Bentinck, and Lord Dalhousie, among others. These reforms encompassed areas such as civil administration, judiciary, police, revenue systems, and education, laying the groundwork for a centralized bureaucratic state. The introduction of the Permanent Settlement, codification of laws, professionalization of the Indian Civil Service, and various social reforms not only reshaped governance in colonial India but also had long-term impacts on the post-independence administrative framework. The study critically examines the motives behind these reforms, evaluating whether they were intended for efficient governance or to consolidate colonial control. Ultimately, the reforms reflected a blend of utilitarian ideals and imperial interests, marking a turning point in India's colonial history.

KEYWORDS:

British India, Governor General, Administrative Reforms, Governance,
Colonial Policy, East India Company.

.....

The administrative and governance reforms during the colonial legacy period (The British Governor Generals') refer to the changes introduced by colonial powers (mainly European) in the territories they ruled. These reforms were implemented primarily to consolidate control, extract resources, and maintain order, but they had lasting impacts on the post-colonial governance structures of many countries, especially in Africa, Asia, and the Caribbean. The British colonial legacy left behind an administrative and governance structure in India that was centralized, bureaucratic, and geared toward revenue collection and control. Post-in-

dependence, India largely retained these institutions but adapted them to serve the democratic ideals of a welfare state, leading to a complex mix of continuity and change.

The British introduced significant administrative reforms to consolidate their power and manage the vast territory, laying the groundwork for modern Indian bureaucracy, law, and district administration.

Administrative structure

Centralized authority: The British established a centralized system of governance to effectively control their Indian possessions. The Government of India Acts of 1919 and 1935 gradually introduced provincial autonomy and a federal structure, though real power remained centralized.

Indian Civil Service (ICS): The ICS was the "steel frame" of British administration in India. It was a merit-based, elite bureaucracy initially dominated by the British, but gradually saw the inclusion of Indians. The ICS formed the core of the administrative machinery, focusing on revenue, law, and order.

District administration: The British streamlined district administration, making the District Collector the central figure responsible for revenue collection, law, and order. This system brought governance closer to the people but also centralized power at the district level.

Legal and judicial system

Codification of laws: The British codified Indian laws based on English common law principles, which replaced traditional and customary justice systems. Key legislation included the Indian Penal Code (1860) and the Criminal and Civil Procedure Codes (1861).

Judicial hierarchy: A structured court system was introduced, including the establishment of a Supreme Court in Calcutta (1774) and High Courts in major cities (1861). This created a judicial hierarchy that continues to be the foundation of the Indian judicial system.

Rule of law: The British introduced the concept of the rule of law and equality before the law, which was a marked change from previous systems.

Local self-government

Initial reforms: The British introduced institutions of local

self-government, such as municipalities and district boards, primarily to decentralize administration and generate local revenue.

Empowerment of local bodies: Key reforms included Lord Ripon's Resolution of 1882, which advocated for a non-official majority in local bodies, and the Royal Commission on Decentralization (1908), which recommended further financial empowerment.

The lasting colonial legacy in modern governance-India inherited many colonial-era institutions, and their legacy continues to influence its modern administrative and governance systems in both positive and negative ways.

Positive influences

Merit-based bureaucracy: The system of a permanent, merit-based civil service, though adapted, provides stability and institutional memory to the government.

Institutional framework: Modern India continues to use institutional structures and procedures developed under colonial rule, such as the district administration, the Reserve Bank, and the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General.

Legal uniformity: The codified legal framework introduced by the British provided legal uniformity and established the foundation for the modern Indian legal system.

Negative influences and challenges

Authoritarian and rigid structures: The colonial bureaucracy was hierarchical, authoritarian, and primarily focused on control rather than welfare, a legacy that independent India has struggled to adapt for democratic needs.

Resistance to change: The rigid, rule-book bureaucracy and resistance from entrenched elites can hinder administrative reforms aimed at creating a more responsive and citizen-centric system.

Centralization of power: The inherited centralized administrative structure has created tension in balancing central authority with local autonomy, a persistent challenge in India's quasi-federal system.

Legacy laws: Many outdated colonial laws, including sections of the Indian Penal Code, remain on the books and have been criticized for clashing with modern democratic values.

Social and political divisions: The colonial "divide and rule" policy exacerbated social and religious divisions, leaving behind a legacy of communal tensions and political polarization.

British Governor-Generals introduced sweeping administrative and governance reforms in India, initially to consolidate the East India Company's control and later to streamline the administration under direct British rule. These changes fundamentally restructured India's administration, legal system, economy, and social framework.

Warren Hastings (1772–1785)

As the first Governor-General of Bengal, Hastings focused on eliminating the chaotic "Dual System" of government and centralizing British power.

Administrative reforms:

- He abolished the Dual System of government, which had divided authority between the Company and the Nawab of Bengal, giving the Company direct administrative power.
- He established a Board of Revenue in Calcutta to manage tax collection, making Calcutta the new administrative capital.

Judicial reforms:

- Hastings reformed the judicial system by creating a Diwani Adalat (civil court) and a Faujdari Adalat (criminal court) in each district.
- Two appellate courts, the Sadar Diwani Adalat and the Sadar Nizamat Adalat, were established in Calcutta.

Commercial reforms:

- He abolished the dastak (free trade passes) that were often misused by Company officials for private trade, reducing corruption.
- He established a uniform tariff of 2.5% on all goods to create a more balanced trading system.

Lord Cornwallis (1786–1793)

Cornwallis focused on curbing corruption, introducing professional civil services, and establishing a new land revenue system.

Civil service reforms:

- He significantly increased the salaries of Company servants to curb corruption and banned them from private trade.

- He laid the foundation for the Indian Civil Service (ICS) by introducing a merit-based system, though high-ranking positions were reserved exclusively for Europeans.

Judicial reforms:

- The Cornwallis Code (1793) separated revenue administration from the administration of justice. Collectors lost their judicial powers, and a new hierarchy of civil courts was created.
- The code established the principle that government officials were answerable to the civil courts for their official actions, laying the foundation for "Rule of Law" in British India.

Permanent Settlement of Bengal (1793):

- This policy fixed the land revenue demand permanently for the zamindars (landlords).
- It was intended to create a stable revenue base for the Company but instead led to the exploitation of peasants by the zamindars and widespread economic distress.

Lord Wellesley (1798–1805)

Wellesley's policies were primarily aimed at territorial expansion and establishing British paramountcy in India.

Subsidiary Alliance System:

- Under this system, Indian rulers had to disband their own armies and accept a British military force within their territory, for which they had to pay a subsidy.
- It allowed the Company to control the Indian states without direct annexation and protect them from foreign threats, effectively neutralizing their independence.

Lord William Bentinck (1828–1835)

Bentinck is remembered for his liberal and humanitarian social reforms and for centralizing administration further.

Social reforms:

- He passed the Bengal Sati Regulation (1829), which outlawed the practice of widow immolation.
- His administration suppressed the criminal gangs known as Thugs, significantly improving law and order in central India.

Educational reforms:

- In 1835, he introduced English as the medium of instruction for higher education, based on the recommendations of Thomas Babington Macaulay.

Administrative and judicial reforms:

- He abolished the provincial courts of appeal and circuit, transferring their duties to district magistrates and collectors to improve efficiency.
- The Charter Act of 1833, passed during his tenure, made the Governor-General of Bengal the Governor-General of India, formalizing centralized control over all British territories in India.

Lord Dalhousie (1848–1856)

Dalhousie pursued aggressive territorial expansion and introduced reforms focused on modernizing India's infrastructure.

Doctrine of Lapse:

- Under this controversial policy, if an Indian ruler died without a male heir, their state would be annexed by the British, leading to the annexation of several states like Satara, Nagpur, and Jhansi.

Infrastructure reforms:

- He introduced the first railway line in India in 1853, connecting Bombay and Thane.
- The electric telegraph system was introduced in 1852, connecting major cities like Calcutta and Agra.
- He established the Public Works Department (PWD) to oversee construction of roads, canals, and bridges.

Educational and social reforms:

- He introduced the Wood's Despatch (1854), which laid the foundation for a modern, secular education system, with universities established in Calcutta, Bombay, and Madras in 1857.
- His administration drafted the Hindu Widows' Remarriage Act of 1856, which legalized the remarriage of Hindu widows.

Conclusion:

The administrative and governance reforms introduced during the tenure of various British Governor-Generals laid the foundation for the

modern Indian administrative system. These reforms centralized authority, standardized laws, and introduced Western legal and bureaucratic frameworks. Key measures like the Regulating Act, the Charter Acts, the establishment of the Indian Civil Services, codification of laws, and revenue reforms significantly reshaped governance in colonial India. While these reforms aimed to strengthen British control, they inadvertently contributed to administrative efficiency and legal uniformity, which continued to influence post-independence India's governance structure. However, it's important to recognize that these reforms primarily served British imperial interests and often disregarded indigenous institutions and local participation.

References:

1. A History of Modern India by Bipan Chandra.
2. India's Struggle for Independence by Bipan Chandra.
3. Modern India by Sumit Sarkar.
4. From Plassey to Partition and After by Sekhar Bandyopadhyay.
5. Indian Administration by M. Laxmikanth.
6. History of British India by V.A. Smith.
7. ಭಾರತದ ಚರಿತೆ- ಡಾ. ಬಿ. ಮುದ್ದಾಚಾರಿ.
8. ಭಾರತದ ಇತಿಹಾಸ-ಫಾಲಾಕ್ಸ್
9. ಸಮಗ್ರ ಭಾರತದ ಇತಿಹಾಸ-ಡಾ-ಕೆ ಸದಾಶಿವ.
10. ಅಂತರ್ ಜಾಲದ ಮೂಲಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ

Funding:

This study was not funded by any grant.

Conflict of interest:

The Authors have no conflict of interest to declare that they are relevant to the content of this article.

About the License:

© The Authors 2024. The text of this article is open access and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.