

Imagined Communities and Cultural Memory: The Role of Literature in Shaping National Identity and Collective Consciousness

Rashmi G.H.

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Srisaila Jagadguru Vageesha
Panditaradhya College and P.G Centre, Harihar.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17292209>

ABSTRACT:

Based on foundational concepts such as Benedict Anderson’s Imagined Communities, Jan and Aleida Assmann’s distinction between communicative and cultural memory, and Maurice Halbwachs’ theory of collective memory, alongside the latest research on digital memory formation (for instance, a shift from mnemonic objects to mnemonic assemblages in the digital age of collective memory, along with ethnographic studies and the phenomenon of “ruinated futurity” in the Dongbei literary renaissance in text above) this paper argues that literature preserves national identity by narrativizing shared pasts and symbols, gives voice to marginalised or silenced histories, enables intergenerational and transnational memory transmission, mediates between hegemonic official narratives and plural cultural identities, re-drawing the conceptual boundaries of inclusion and exclusion through myth, epic, and testimony, and despite also being remediated through multimedia and digital screens that provide broader input, agency, and reach, shaping imagined communities and collective consciousness in post-colonial, multicultural, and globalized contexts, so that national identity becomes rooted simultaneously in material culture and cultural memory and continually negotiated in reaction to contemporary socio-technical and political forces, and this dialectic also reflects literature’s crucial role in not only preserving identity but also fostering reflection, critique, and renewal among heterogeneous publics in the 21st century.

KEYWORDS:

Imagined Communities, Cultural Memory, Collective Consciousness, Nation-Building, Postcolonial Literature, Digital Memory



Introduction

Against the background of quickly changing demographics and migration worldwide, memory mediation through technology, and the intensifying competition surrounding national narratives as found, for exam-

ple, in the recent studies of the role of Malaysian World War II literature in the integration of multi-ethnic memories (Saxena, 2021) and the analysis of how the Chinese state heritage and intangible cultural heritage infrastructuralizes institutions impacting the production of official memory (Maags, 2023) literature develops an increasingly crucial role in nation-building as a medium partly because literature becomes a medium through which identity is imagined, negotiated, contested, or reformulated in response to altering political, social, and cultural conditions; The term “nation” may not only represent, in Anderson’s (1983, 6) words, “an imagined political community...inherently limited and sovereign,” but also entails “disposition” 2 ways people share lives, histories, values, symbolic practices, and collective awareness; “cultural memory” is conceptualized as the “body” role of narratives, symbols, rituals, material artefacts, institutions, and mnemonic practices through which groups preserve, transmit, and negotiate their past beyond individual recollection; and the notion of “imagined communities” itself comes from Anderson’s work as it characterizes people who, “even in the age of communication” share a mental image of their communion via stories, texts, and cultural symbols that the literature helps produce, sustain or challenge; yet despite much scholarship focusing on some empirical case studies of literature in nation-building (for instance, Malaysian literature, South Asian war writings or Baltic States memory politics), and much theorizing around Anderson, or his predecessors like Halbwachs, Assmann, et al., there has been a research gap between these works that take different conceptual views on either literature, memory, identity, or power, but they do not delineate systematic comparisons of the mechanisms by which literature constructs collective consciousness in postcoloniality and multiculturalism; and especially scholarship that outlines not only how literature functions only as reflection agent but how literature triggers, negotiates the individual-hegemonic binary in the contexts of global diasporas and digitalized memories and what are the changes that the literate cause on the memories—past, present, or future; therefore, the present article is aimed at the following: theorizing the roles of literature in reading history in the nations and what means this processes the literature adopt such as what constitutes the effect of literature and what is the composite picture of how literature negotiates the geopolitics past, present orientations—fully past presence negotiation and its meaning and/or potential through a spa-

tiotemporal absence are the appropriate terms) power–chi squared shape process of fictionally representing history while key questions such as, how do literary texts narrativize national history and memory, who do they connect and who do not they memorize, connect or relate other memory–lead concepts because the reader are not confined to the textual borders in the act of reading or forgetting and other interplay between identified and unidentified readership, and also the memory politics or politics of memory such as how do literature interact and effect through social constructs and its acceptance, fictionally with its historical reception, with national, post national, diasporic, global mechanisms or not. How do they negotiate between institutional and non–institutional memories? In what ways do literary forms transliterate for digital and diasporic modes of memory? What symbolic, mythical, narrative or ritual motifs tend to appear in the works that succeed in this Zeitgeist construction? By way of illustration of these theoretical points, close readings of selected literary works constitute each of the articles which will follow: Vandana Saxena’s Memory and Nation–Building: World War II in Malaysian Literature as an imagined community in a multicultural nation; post–1971 war literature of Bangladesh as an articulation of how national myths are both created and opposed; texts from Baltic States literature and contemporary China heritage literature which becomes the ground level where the convergence and conflicts between state, community, and individual layers of memory occur, which in turn further grounds the conceptual analysis to literary examples that ultimately illustrates of how imagined communities are built and cultural memory are preserved/contested/transformed.

Theoretical Framework related to the study

Drawing from Benedict Anderson’s foundational idea of Imagined Communities which postulates that what we call nations are imaginary communities that emerge due to language, the advent of print capitalism, and common tales that allow people who will likely never meet to picture themselves belonging to a common polity and infusing Maurice Halbwachs’ theoretical lens, which posits that individual memory is always composite and shaped by the structures of social groups (families, classes, religious communities, nations), and that the past is an object constantly reconstructed with reference to the present, along with Jan Assmann’s further differentiation between communicative and cultural memory, where communicative memory represents the lived, inter–personal act of

remembering occurring within short spans of time and cultural memory comprises highly institutionalized, symbolic, ritualized, textual and monument-based practices that stabilize collective self-image over the long term, this study also employs a postcolonial lens, drawing from Homi Bhabha's ideas of hybridity and the Third Space, Edward Said's critique of discursive power in *Orientalism and Culture and Imperialism*, and Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o's call for language, decolonising the mind and literary agency, in order to build a theoretical synthesis that can explain how literature does not merely reflect national identity, but works as an agent of formation mediating the interplay between hegemonic official narratives and counter, marginal or diasporic voices, especially as grounds of evidence whereby recent scholarship e.g. "Collective Memory and Narrative Cohesion: A Computational Study of Palestinian Refugee Oral Histories in Lebanon" (2025) using Halbwachs' framework to argue how shared origin and shared residence among refugees yield highly cohesive collective narratives of Nakba even under conditions of displacement thus securing identity from erasure and on "The 'Downward Compatibility' of Assmann's Cultural Memory" emphasizing how the components of cultural memory as symbolic, mythic, ritualistic and textual practices protect group identity and ontological security in the face of the imperatives of globalization shows that literature at once sustains imagined communities long after the historical crux yet can also disrupt memory, contributing to negotiations of identity; in fact postcolonial scholars have pointed out that identity is not a static given but is frequently contested, negotiated, hybrid—as the hybrid space that Bhabha's Third Space delineates, where literatures are produced that straddle the liminal zones between constructs such as colonizer/colonized, tradition/modernity, Said's arguments that inquiry into representation, discourse and power must interlink in understanding the discourse of "otherness" and therefore self, and Ngũgĩ's insistence on the importance of local languages, local narratives, and the need for local voices to write back against the legacy of colonial rule, with this construct situating literature as a crucial site where collective memory can be both entrenched (via canonical texts, rituals, myths, cultural commemoration) and deconstructed (via counter-narratives, marginalized voices, diasporic literatures, digital remediations), in turn suggesting that imagined communities are continuously under construction, negotiated through time, space, and power relations; and thus this individual study

enters current scholarly debates on the relative role of literature's mnemonic capacity in state-sanctioned versus counter memory, on how digital media shifts a culture's modes for performing cultural memory, on the push-and-pull of hybridity versus authenticity in postcolonial identity, and on whether and how literature must result in exclusion of some groups in terms of imagined identity as opposed to engender inclusion as literature becomes more varied in terms of subject matter, form, language, style; and therefore provides the conceptual tools to unpack how literary texts stabilize and subvert national identity and collective consciousness through acts of remembering, narrativizing, mystifying, resisting, hybridizing, and re-imagining in a contemporary global (and often digital) context.

Literature Review

Literary scholarship over the last few decades has turned away from reading literature simply as a reflection of nation-building towards reading literature as a cultural medium that actively participates in forging national identity, evident in work such as Vandana Saxena's *Memory and Nation-Building: World War II in Malaysian Literature*, which interrogates how memories of the war in a multicultural Malaysia generate competing narratives about the past, belonging, and the nation (Saxena, 2021), and J. Edward Mallot's *Memory, Nationalism, and Narrative in Contemporary South Asia*, which investigates how a range of literary, filmic, and urban texts across South Asia encode ambivalences in remembering, forgetting and narrativizing national histories (Mallot, 2012), and comparative studies investigating how postcolonial writers such as Chinua Achebe and J. M. Coetzee in *Things Fall Apart* and *Disgrace* utilize memory and trauma to interrogate colonial legacies and also to caution and/or foster collective identity (Al Masri, Smadi & Al Twaijer, n.d); case studies of national literatures--from decolonizing African postcolonial novels representing oral tradition and counter-histories, Latin American independence writings undertaking the project of restoring suppressed histories, to European Romanticism's attempts at myth-making of folk traditions and national pasts--conjoin narrative, myth, ritual, symbol, historical novel form and poetic form as loci for imagining communities and forging identity, as in the exaltation of folk song, ballad, myth, and rustic landscape in European Romantic works of the late 18th/early 19th centuries, or in Latin American war of independence epics and novels reconstructing he-

roic founding myths; more recent literary works of inquiry analysis, such as *The Garden of Evening Mists* by Tan Twan Eng, has been studied for how it over-layers elements of, and yet risks an aesthetic distance from political urgency in terms of, transcultural mediation, aestheticization of memory, and ethno-cultural subjectivities (Cambridge Journal of Postcolonial Literary Inquiry, 2016), and studies of diasporic and refugee oral histories frequently demonstrate that it is narrative practices (fictional and testimonial, oral and written) that remain central to sustaining identity when displaced and to resisting erasure (for instance, the computational study of Palestinian refugee oral histories in Lebanon featured in “Collective Memory and Narrative Cohesion: A Computational Study of Palestinian Refugee Oral Histories in Lebanon” (Awwad, Dunagan, Gamba & Rayan, 2025); connecting narrative to memory to collective identity, theorists such as C. Innes in *The Cambridge History of the English Novel* argue that postcolonial novels do more than record history but counterpose memory and history, myth and canonical history, re-creating a collective memory even (Innes, 2012), while work in South Asia focuses on how novels, partition literature, urban memory, film, mapping constitute the ways in which individual memory is functionally tied up with communal identity, as Mallot shows; however, while previous work has tended either to emphasize the political/official memory (state narratives, monuments, canonical literature) or counter-memory (trauma, marginalized voices) it has less often theorized the mechanisms by which literature, especially in this moment of digital, diasporic, hybrid context, negotiates either sphere, and most often does not compare across regions how similar symbolic, mythic, narrative, or ritual motifs recur in nation-forming literatures under globalization and digital mediation and how the imagined community shifts accordingly; this paper therefore extends previous scholarship in offering a conceptual synthesis of the state and counter-memory dimensions, one that incorporates also more recent studies of digital and diasporic literatures, achieves comparative exchange between cases across different continents, and that critically interrogates the repeating motifs and forms (myth, epic, oral tradition, testimonial, metafiction) by which literature enters into the shaping of collective consciousness.

Research Gap related to the study

As vast and rich scholarship exists on how literature acts as a cultural tool in nation-building through national epics, postcolonial novels,

and Romantic myth-making and through expansive studies of particular case studies that highlight literary movements African postcolonial fiction, Latin American independence writings, European Romanticism, and more recently diasporic memory ecologies among the British Bangladeshis (Bisht, 2022) and computational studies of Palestinian refugee oral histories (Awwad, Dunagan, Gamba, & Rayan, 2025) that emphasize the influences of origin, residence, gender on the preservation of collective memory and narrative cohesion, less has been written conceptually about how such diverse literatures operate across time, space, and media to negotiate between hegemonic official narratives and marginalized or counter-narratives especially in digital, hybrid, and diasporic contexts; in fact, few studies systematically theorize how literature can not only reflect imagined communities in cases of mobility, displacement, and digital mediation, but also generate them as it compares how symbolic, ritual, mythic motifs reflect need for transformation or preservation between and among originating, receiving, and diasporic literatures, how inter-generational transmission of memory and identity happens when mediated by new media, and how reader subjectivity and reception in global diasporas contribute toward reshaping collective consciousness thus this study addresses the interstices of literature, digital memory, diasporic identity, and counter-memory among literatures by offering a comparative and conceptual architecture, integrating temporal fluidity, media plurality, and power relations among official, subaltern, and hybrid narratives in the making, unmaking, and continuous remaking of national identity and collective consciousness.

Conceptual Analysis

This Conceptual Analysis applies the above frameworks to literature, first arguing that literature acts as a site of cultural memory and nation-formation across four overlapping registers: (a) Literature as a Medium of Cultural Memory, where novels, poems, oral narratives, and digital storytelling practices memorialize, reconfigure and ritualize historical and mythological content such that textual forms become (intergenerational) archives and conduits of collective mnemonic matter (for instance, canonical historical novels canonizing founding myths, testimonial fiction preserving trauma narratives, and community-based digital archives remediating oral histories); (b) Narrative and the Nation, where story-telling practices through narrative perspective, temporality,

mythmaking, and mnemonic devices such as leit-motifs and repetition—shape coherent plots of origin, destiny, and belonging enabling disparate readers to have a united sense of shared past and imagined belonging (e.g., epic-like nation-novels, partition literatures, and diasporic narratives reconstructing lost homelands for second-generation readers); (c) Colonial vs. Postcolonial Imagined Communities, where uniform colonial historiographies and language regimes create one set of hegemonic memory practices that literature may reproduce, destabilize, or hybridize, and postcolonial literatures put into practice “writing back” through language decolonization, vernacular revival, counter-memory, and hybridity that redistributes imagined communities on other mnemonic foundations (e.g., novels inflected by oral tradition re-centering indigenous memory in the midst of colonial erasure); and (d) Symbols, Myths, and Archetypes in National Literature, where recurring motifs (founders’ myths, sacrificial heroes, landscape as a nucleus of moral capital, ritual scenes, and archetypal journeys) function as semiotic anchors of collective consciousness even as their meanings change across historical conjunctures and mediated forms (from print to film to social media), and together these sub-sections exemplify how literature processes national identity not only by archiving but by actively manufacturing, contesting, and negotiating identities stabilizing some memories while allowing counter-memories to emerge, remediated by digital media and diasporic readerships, and producing both resilient and dynamically contested imagined communities in the modern, globalized age; in illustrating these claims the paper deploys close readings and comparative examples (e.g., oral-novel hybrids in African postcolonial fiction, Latin American independence narratives reconstructing founding myths, Romantic-era poetics in Europe canonizing folk memory, contemporary diasporic novels, and digital oral history projects maintaining identity in conditions of uprootedness) demonstrating concrete mechanisms narrative framing, mnemonic recurrence, ritualized scenes, language use, and media remediation by which texts performatively shape collective consciousness, and only through attending to these mechanisms (and their transformations in digital and transnational contexts) can we fully theorize literature’s formative role in nation-building and memory.

Case Illustrations

By focusing on specific instances as examples, the scholarly activ-

ity that I invoke in this introduction will nevertheless reveal common patterns, especially in the ways literature provides a mode of retention, preserves traditions, creates memories and embodiments of national future, as well as new pasts Examining Chinua Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* we see how Achebe preserves the cultural memory of Igbo traditions—through proverbs, ritual scenes, kinship structures, gender norms, ancestral reverence—and then portrays the disintegration caused by colonial encounter so that the novel itself becomes a mnemonic text that resists Western stereotypes and reconstructs a complex precolonial imagined community (as scholars have argued in recent studies on memory and trauma in Achebe's work, especially in analyses that contrast official colonial historiography with Igbo counter-memory via language and ritual) (Irele, 2000; Wabwile Juma, 2025); turning to José Martí's essays, particularly *Nuestra América*, we see how Martí crafts a Latin American cultural nationalism by invoking shared history of colonial struggle, shared language, mestizo identity, and common enemies (foreign intervention, intellectual dependency), using metaphor, republican rhetoric, and visionary imagery to create an imagined community that transcends individual nations and calls for unity and solidarity in memory of oppression and self-determination (as García de la Torre (2008) demonstrates in his exploration of Martí's globalism and inclusionary nationalism) (García de la Torre, 2008); in Rabindranath Tagore's poetry, especially in works such as *Gitanjali* and earlier Bengali poems, Tagore both draws on indigenous myth, folk symbols, Bengali language and ritual imagery and also questions colonial modernity, synthesizing spiritualisms and universalism to forge an Indian cultural identity, where the memory of colonial domination and the memory of indigenous cosmology are both preserved and transformed, a dynamic illustrated in recent readings of Tagore's quest to imagine a past and belonging in *Imagining the Nation: Rabindranath Tagore and His Quest for Creating a Past* (Chatterjee, 2023); finally, looking at European Romanticism through poets like Goethe and Wordsworth, we observe how Romantic literature valorized folk tradition, nature, landscape, myth, song, and the "common people" as sources of national character—Wordsworth's elevation of rustic life and the local, Goethe's incorporation of folk legends into national myth—thereby providing symbolic, archetypal motifs that would serve official and popular memory (e.g. festivals, folklore reinvigoration) and imagining a shared community

grounded in cultural heritage, even as later critics have interrogated Romanticism's exclusions of class, gender, colonial others; these cases together illustrate that literature operates not only as passive archive but as agent: *Things Fall Apart* provides counter-memory to colonial erasure; Martí's essays envision unity across national borders via shared memory; Tagore's poetry reconstructs the inner life of colonized people via myth, spiritual symbolism, and vernacular culture; Romanticism constructs mythic pasts and folk symbols that are reactivated in nationalist movements; and by comparing these texts across continents, this study shows how symbolic rituals, mythic archetypes, vernacular language, narrative perspective, and reader positioning are employed in literature to build, contest, sustain, and reimagine imagined communities, thereby contributing to national identity and collective consciousness in ways that are both rooted in local memory and responsive to colonial, postcolonial, diasporic, and global pressures.

Discussion related to the study

Combining insights from the theoretical perspectives (Anderson, Halbwachs, Assmann, Bhabha, Said, etc.) and the close-reading case examples (Achebe, Martí, Tagore, Romantic poets), this paper demonstrates both how literature reflects but also actively produces national identity and collective memory on the one hand, it reflects the existing cultural memory, social values, myths, and power relations of a society on the other hand, it actively shapes them through narrative, myth, symbol, and language choice and that indeed literature's dual-function also implies intrinsic tensions especially between inclusion vs. exclusion (i.e., which voices enter the national memory and which tend to be silenced) and homogenization vs. pluralism (whether identities can be stated as single, unified, monocultural or as multiple, hybrid, and a poly-ethnic construction) and that despite entering the postcolonial, globalized and more-and-more-digital era, its role continues to be central though of a particular nature: for instance, how diaspora literature and memory projects online allow dispersed communities to reimagine their community back home while resisting homogenizing narratives, as shown in the analyses by "Deasal the Spirit of Diasporas: Diasporic memory practice on the Internet: Remembering lost homelands" (Clarke, Parish, Winfield, & Lecrivain, 2023); similarly, how heritage bureaucracies and state-selected intangible cultural heritage operate as officially approved narrative mak-

ers yet counter-voices emerge in micro-communities and subcultures complicating the hegemonic narrative (Maags, 2023); moreover, how the literature in the digital realm is emerging from the past boundaries (social media, online archives, podcasts, digital storytelling) not only broadening the maps of memory, thereby exposing the power-play of counter-narratives but also carrying in new challenges of access, fragmentation, reception, and algorithmic mediation, as the quantitative-qualitative analysis shows in “The Implications of Digital Culture for Contemporary Literature: Navigating New Narratives, Forms, and Reader Engagement in the Digital Age” (Zafar, Ali Gohar, & Soomro, 2023); thus this paper argues that not only is literature not a mere container of memory but rather a site of contention, conflict, initiative, and fashioning of identity (in essence an act of (re)imagining both inside and outside (post)colonial arenas) with globalisation bringing new transnational pressure, diasporic dislocations, multilingual contexts and especially digital forms all altering how communities are imagined, who enters their inside/outside zones, which myths survive and shape public symbols, memories are mediated across generations—and that these dynamics underscore literature’s enduring role in nation-building even as its forms, archetypes, audiences, and stakes transform now in the 21st century.

Conclusion

In summary, this article has conceptually argued that literature is both an archive and an imaginative agent because literature not only reflects on national memory, it also imaginatively preserves, contests, redefines, and constructs collective identity by intertwining cultural memory, symbolic ritual, myth, language, and narrative and that this dual archival-imaginative function makes literature central to nation-building by preserving inherited histories and generations of mythic pasts as well as enabling communities to imagine, renegotiate, and remake themselves to accommodate changing social, political, and technological conditions; the contribution of this study lies in the way it synthesizes theoretical insights from Anderson, Halbwachs, Assmann, and postcolonial thinkers (e.g., Bhabha, Said) with a few close literary case illustrations (Achebe, Martí, Tagore, romanticism) to become both archive and agent in the construction of national identity and collective consciousness, especially under colonial, postcolonial, diasporic, and digital pressures; finally future research might benefit from comparative studies that span continents com-

paring how national literatures in Africa, Latin America, South Asia, and Southeast Asia mediate between official and counter-memories; from adopting digital humanities approaches (e.g., mining intertextuality, building digital archives such as *Cities in Fiction*, or using ontologies and knowledge graphs to map symbolic, mythic, ritual elements in transnational literatures) to trace how memory motifs travel, vary, or endure; and from technologies that foreground transnational identities by concentrating on migrant, hybrid, multilingual literatures and their reception in diasporas in order to better understand how imagined communities extend beyond territorial boundaries and how literature mediated digitally creates new dimensions of national identities, always mindful of the pluralism and inequalities in whose memory is preserved and whose is silenced.

References

1. Abdi, A. G. (2026). *Memory Politics and Building Multinational States in Africa: Collective Memory, Identity Conflict, and Constitution-Making in Ethiopia*. Routledge.
2. Achebe, C. (1958). *Things Fall Apart*. Heinemann.
3. Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. Verso.
4. Assmann, J. (1995). *Cultural Memory and Early Civilization: Writing, Remembrance, and Political Imagination*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Awwad, G., Dunagan, L., Gamba, D., & Rayan, T. N. (2025). Collective memory and narrative cohesion: A computational study of Palestinian refugee oral histories in Lebanon. arXiv preprint.
6. Baldwin, S. S. (2000). *What the Body Remembers*. Knopf Canada.
7. Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. Routledge.
8. Candia, C. (2022). Quantifying collective memories. arXiv preprint.
9. Candia, C., & Uzzi, B. (2020). The rising of collective forgetting and cultural selectivity in inventors and physicists' communities. arXiv preprint.
10. Connerton, P. (1989). *How Societies Remember*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Clarke, D., Parish, N., Winfield, P., & Lecrivain, A. (2023). Diasporic memory practice on the Internet: Remembering lost homelands. *Memory Studies*.
12. Fussell, P. (1975). *The Great War and Modern Memory*. Oxford University Press.
13. Galaty, M. L. (2018). *Memory and Nation Building: From Ancient Times to the Islamic State*. Rowman & Littlefield.
14. Green, A. (2012). "Can Memory Be Collective?" In *The Oxford Handbook of Public History*. Oxford University Press.
15. Ghosh, A. (1995). *The Shadow Lines*. Penguin.
16. Gualda, E., Ibáñez Meseguer, J., & Mangone, E. (Eds.). (2023). *Collective Memory Narratives in Contemporary Culture*. Springer Cham.

17. Halbwachs, M. (1992 [1950]). *On Collective Memory*. University of Chicago Press.
18. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*. (2025). Zheng, L. "War Literature and Collective Memory: The Other's Perspective in Anthropology," 15(1).
19. Liuyi, Z. (2025). War literature and collective memory: The other's perspective in anthropology. *International Journal of Business Anthropology*, 15(1).
20. Mallot, J. E. (2012). *Memory, Nationalism, and Narrative in Contemporary South Asia*. Palgrave Macmillan.
21. Martí, J. (1891). *Our America / Nuestra América*. Translated in various editions.
22. Novick, P. (1999). *The Holocaust in American Life*. Houghton Mifflin.
23. Nora, P. (1989–1992). "Between Memory and History: Les Lieux de Memoire." *Representations*, (26), 7–24.
24. Ngũgĩ, W. T. (1986). *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. Heinemann.
25. Ondaatje, M. (1987). *The English Patient*. McClelland & Stewart.
26. Pavlakovic, V., & Pauković, D. (Eds.). (2019). *Framing the Nation and Collective Identities: Political Rituals and Cultural Memory of the Twentieth-Century Traumas in Croatia*. Routledge.
27. Ritchie, D. A. (2012). *Doing Oral History*. Oxford University Press.
28. Rieff, D. (2016). In *Praise of Forgetting: Historical Memory and Its Ironies*. Yale University Press.
29. Rushdie, S. (1981). *Midnight's Children*. Jonathan Cape.
30. Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
31. Saxena, V. (2021). *Memory and Nation-Building: World War II in Malaysian Literature*. Routledge.
32. Trouillot, M.-R. (1995). *Silencing the Past: Power and the Production of History*. Beacon Press.
33. Tagore, R. (1910/1913). *Gitanjali*. Macmillan.

Funding:

This study was not funded by any grant.

Conflict of interest:

The Authors have no conflict of interest to declare that they are relevant to the content of this article.

About the License:

© The Authors 2024. The text of this article is open access and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.